

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/017,755	SHIMIZU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Susan T. Tran	1615	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Susan T. Tran.

(3) _____.

 (2) Douglas P. Mueller.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

to correct antecedent basis and place the application in better condition for allowance, the phrase "first composition" in line 6 of claim 1 was suggested to amend to "core composition". Examiner's Amendment was authorized by applicant's attorney.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)